



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,471	09/08/2003	Jonathan R. Broek	B70.12-0001	2674

7590 04/29/2005

Bryan F. Erickson
Westman, Champlin & Kelly
Suite 1600
900 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3617

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,471	BROEK, JONATHAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2, 6-8, 10 and 11 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuboi (US 5,735,214).

Tsuboi discloses a creeper 10 comprised of a body 11 and a plurality of rail interfaces 20 coupled to the body and to move the creeper along a rail 1 having a transnational axis. The Examiner has interpreted a creeper to be a type of carrier for moving people or articles. The Examiner has further interpreted the rail interfaces to be a type of wheel or bearing for moving the creeper along a rail. The device is comprised of four wheels at each corner of the creeper. The rail interfaces 20 have a flange, protruding outward toward the rail, between a top axial section and a lower axial section as shown in figure 2, where the flange is generally marked as 25a. This flange mates with a side wall having a V edge 4 of the rail 1 which ensure proper alignment of the rail interface 20 and the creeper 10. Figures 3-5 show the V shaped edge 4 of the rail 1 which is comprised of first and second side walls, shaped together to form the V, wherein the first and second walls mate with the flanges of the rail interfaces 20. A close up view of the rail interface 20 and the rail is shown in figure 6. The rail interface 20 is further comprised of a threaded stem 21 which the Examiner has interpreted to be a

Art Unit: 3617

guide bar. The stem 21 mounts the rail interface 20 to the body 11 and also engages the sidewall of the rail by way of the edge of the wheel 25 to ensure proper alignment of the rail interface 20 with the rail 1. The creeper 10 is enabled to translate, or move, from a first position to a second position along a transnational axis of the rail 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuboi (US 5,735,214) in view of Peterson et al (US 6,076,838).

Tsuboi discloses a creeper as described above. However, Tsuboi does not disclose the use of a locking device to fix the creeper in a stationary position. Peterson et al discloses a creeper comprised of a body and a plurality of wheels as shown in figure 1. Peterson et al also discloses feet 50, which act as brake devices for the creeper in order to hold the creeper stationary in position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied feet, like those of Peterson et al, to a creeper like that of Tsuboi in order to hold the creeper in a stationary position making it easier for a person to use or making it easier to load or unload products to be moved.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 6-8, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12-20 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/07/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the flanged portion of the wheel of the prior art does not extend outward. While it was originally noted that the flange was directed inward it can also extend outward depending on the readers perspective. From the perspective of the axle of the wheels the flange extends outward from the axle towards the rail. The prior art also goes on to show multiple flanges in contact with the side walls of the rail. The side wall was interpreted to be the angles portions extending from the rail. Both the upper flange of an upper portion of the wheel and the lower flange of the lower portion of the wheel both contact the side wall of the rail. The side wall was interpreted to have two portions. An upper portion and a lower portion extending to a point where they join together.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm.


Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RJM
April 22, 2005


ROBERT J. MCCARRY, JR.
PATENT EXAMINER
3617


S. JOSEPH MORANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600